

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

cK

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/791,753	03/04/2004	Tsutomu Fujimura	249976US0	5264
22850	7590 06/03/2005		EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			MCCORMICK EWOLDT, SUSAN BETH	
1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1654	
			DATE MAILED: 06/03/2003	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commons	10/791,753	FUJIMURA, TSUTOMU				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Susan B. McCormick-Ewoldt	1654				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) da  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. 'CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply lation. ys, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 ry period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS by statute, cause the application to become ABAND	be timely filed  ) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed o	n 18 April 2005.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u> </u>					
3) Since this application is in condition for	<u> </u>					
closed in accordance with the practice (	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-3 and 5 is/a	4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-3 and 5 is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4</u> is/are rejected.	Claim(s) 4 is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers	:					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 March 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the attached Of	fice Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> </ol>						
<u> </u>	cuments have been received in Appli	ication No				
	he priority documents have been rec	eived in this National Stage				
application from the International						
* See the attached detailed Office action for	or a list of the certified copies not rec	eivea.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>September 7, 2004</u> . → May 16, a	005 6) ☐ Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/791,753

Art Unit: 1654

ÿ

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of Group II in the reply filed on April 18, 2005 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the Examiner has not carried the burden of providing sufficient reason to support the claims are patentably distinct. This is not found persuasive because the inventions are distinct (i.e. one group is a composition and one group is a method of using) and independent from each other; thus, separate searches would be required.

Claims 1-3 and 5 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on April 18, 2005.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

# Claims Pending

Claims 1-3 and 5 are withdrawn from consideration. Claim 4 will be examined on the merits.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification does not reasonably provide enablement for preventing aging of the skin. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

Undue experimentation would be required to practice the invention as claimed due to the quantity of experimentation necessary; limited amount of guidance and limited number of working examples in the specification; nature of the invention; state of the prior art; relative skill level of those in the art; predictability or unpredictability in the art; and breadth of the claims. *In re* Wands, 8 USPQ2d 1400, 1404 (Fed. Cir. 1988).

Applicant's claims are broadly drawn to preventing aging of the skin. The specification is not considered to enable this use. Applicant's specification does not give any examples that show

Application/Control Number: 10/791,753

Art Unit: 1654

preventing aging of the skin. Applicant has not demonstrated inhibition or treatment of preventing aging of the skin. Applicant does not discuss the known means for preventing aging of the skin. Thus, Applicant does not provide enough information for a person of ordinary skill in the art to determine without undue experimentation what is claimed is able to prevent aging of the skin.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which Applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 4, line 2, the term "enhancing" is indefinite because it is not clear what is encompassed by this term. Clarification is needed.

Claim 4 provides for the use of method, but, since the claim does not set forth any steps involved in the method/process, it is unclear what method/process Applicant is intending to encompass. A claim is indefinite where it merely recites a use without any active, positive steps delimiting how this use is actually practiced.

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed recitation of a use, without setting forth any steps involved in the process, results in an improper definition of a process, i.e., results in a claim which is not a proper process claim under 35 U.S.C. 101. See for example *Ex parte Dunki*, 153 USPQ 678 (Bd.App. 1967) and *Clinical Products, Ltd.* v. *Brenner*, 255 F. Supp. 131, 149 USPQ 475 (D.D.C. 1966).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 1654

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nishibe (JP 2000178168 A- translation provided).

Nishibe (JP 2000178168 A-) disclose that *Schisandra chinensis* is used in a composition for providing skin with elasticity, improving the aging of the skin and reducing wrinkles. However, Nishibe does not discuss the mechanisms of the claim but are inherent because the same composition is applied for the same purpose. Thus, Nishibe meet the limitations of claim 4 and thus anticipates the claimed invention.

#### <u>Summary</u>

No claim is allowed.

### <u>Correspondence</u>

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Susan B. McCormick-Ewoldt whose telephone number is (571) 272-0981. The Examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 6:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Bruce Campell, can be reached on (571) 272-0974. The official fax number for the group is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

sbme

S-24-05
SUSAN COE
PRIMARY EXAMINER